

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,945	03/19/2004	Kenichi Shimooka	TSM-37	7176
24956 MATTINGLY	7590 08/28/2007 STANGER, MALUR &		EXAM	INER
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370	PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY			
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/803,945	SHIMOOKA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Venkat Perungavoor	2132
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <u>B</u>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	•
Disposition of Claims	,	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 7,9-11,14-17,19 and 21-28 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 7,9-11,14-17,19,21-28 is/are reje 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Applic rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date

Application/Control Number: 10/803,945

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 10-12, filed 7/2/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 7, 9-11, 14-17, 19, 21-28 under 35 USC § 102(b) as anticipated by US Patent 5483649 to Kuznetsov et al have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of US Patent Publication 2004/0010732 to Oka.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 10-11, 14-17, 19, 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Publication 2004/0010732 to Oka in view of US Patent Publication 2003/0188191 to Aaron et al.(hereinafter Aaron)

Regarding Claim 7, 10-11, 14, Oka discloses the computer system including a host computer coupled to and separate from a storage system which has first volume for storing received data(Fig. 4 item 111) and second volume(112) that is a pair of first volume and stores data that is replicated from first volume, and storage control unit for controlling the data replication from the first volume to second volume(Fig. 1 item 104), a data protection apparatus coupled to and separate from the host computer and storage system having an even detection unit for detecting event occurrence(Fig.

3 item 121); a replication stopping unit for instructing said storage control unit to stop replication of data of first volume to second volume, when an event detection unit detects an event(see Par. 0058); an virus detection for detecting an virus intrusion into host computer(see Par. 0057); event detection unit receives a detection of the virus from virus detection unit and event detection unit receives the detection of virus, replication stopping unit instructs storage control unit to stop data replication from first volume to second volume that is pair of first volume(Par. 0027 & Par. 0065). However, Aaron discloses the intrusion detection unit for detecting of illegal intrusion see Fig. 4 item 360. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the virus detection to intrusion detection in the invention of Oka in order to broaden the applicability of the invention.

Regarding Claim 15, Oka discloses the write data to first volume is replicated by control unit with delay in given time see Par. 0037.

Regarding Claim 16-17, Oka discloses the plurality of second volumes are provided see Fig. 2 item 112; control unit switches a replication destination of write data from the first volume to second volume at given intervals see Fig. 2 item 104 & Fig. 1 item 105.

Regarding Claim 19, Oka discloses the control unit for communicating between host computer and first volume see Fig. 1 item 106 & 103; storage controller to stop communication between host computer and first volume when even is detected see Fig. 6 item S614 & S615.

Art Unit: 2132

Regarding Claim 21, 23, 25, 27, Oka discloses the canceling of data replication from first volume to second volume see Fig. 6 item S614.

Regarding Claim 22, 24, 26, 28, Oka discloses the path disconnection unit for instructing control unit to stop communication between host computer and storage system, when event detection unit detects an event see Fig. 6 item S614.

Claims 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Publication 2004/0010732 to Oka in view of US Patent Publication 2003/0188191 to Aaron et al.(hereinafter Aaron) further in view of US Patent Publication 2003/0233574 to Kouznetsov et al.(hereinafter Kouznetsov).

Regarding Claim 9, Oka discloses the replication stopping unit instructs control unit to stop replication from first volume to second volume see Par. 0058. But does not disclose the virus detection and receiving of detection by the unit. However, Kouznetsov discloses the computer virus detection unit for detecting a computer virus in storage system see Fig. 1 item 110, 112; event detection unit receives detection of computer virus see Fig. 3 item 308. It would be obvious to include the virus detection in the invention of Oka in order to thwart commonly known virus attacks.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkat Perungavoor whose telephone number is 571-272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/VP/ Venkat Perungavoor Examiner Art Unit 2132 August 13, 2007